

HHE UNITED SHAMES OF AMIERIOA

North American Plant Breeders

Willievers, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen years from the date of this grant, subject to the payment of the required fees and periodic replenishment of viable basic seed of the variety in a public repository as provided by LAW, the right to extend others from selling the variety, or offering it for sale, or reproducing it, orting it, or exporting it, or using it in producing a hybrid or different therefrom, to the extent provided by the Plant Variety Protection Act 42, as amended, 7 u.s.c. 2321 et seq.)

ALFALFA

'Atlas'

In Testimony Wancrot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington

this 11th day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and eighty-two.

Allest

Acting Commissioner

Plant Variety Protection Office

Grain Division

Agricultural Marketing Scroice

Secretary of Agriculture

**《图》《图》《图》《图》《图》** 

# GRAIN DIVISION HYATTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20782

### APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION CERTIFICATE

INSTRUCTIONS: See Reverse.				
I. VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY	2. KIND NAME			AL USE ONLY
DESIGNATION			PV NUMBER	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Atlas (Tested as NAPB 41)	Alfalfa		76000	
3. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	4. FAMILY NAME (Both	enical)	FILING DATE	TIME
M	FABACEAE	ACR )	12111	12:30 P.M.
Medicago sativa	1.59.001777.004		FEE RECEIVED	BALANCE DUE
	5. DATE OF DETERM	NATION	\$ 250	
	March 1974		\$ 250.00	\$\\\ \\$\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
6. NAME OF APPLICANT(S)	7. ADDRESS (Street and	d No. of P. P. No.	1	8. TELEPHONE AREA
B. NAME OF APPLICANTS	Code)	P.O. Box		CODE AND NUMBER
North American Plant			hason Dr.	
Breeders	P. O. Box 9	H Missian	1 KS 66205	
	Little Rock	- Arkansas 72	<del>203</del>	501-374-1652
		: ,	140	
9. IF THE NAMED APPLICANT IS NOT A PE		10. STATE OF INCOM	PORATION	11. DATE OF INCOR-
ORGANIZATION: (Corporation, partnership,	association, etc.)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		PORATION
Corporation		Connecticu		March 9, 1973
12. Name and mailing address of applic	ant representative(s)	, if any, to serve	in this application a	nd receive all papers:
Mar Desamble II A Occurre		100 # 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	A.CO	and Do IR Mos
Mr. Barry W. A. Greeng	rass, General Ma	inagor mi th	Des C. Disson	Was No as D as
North American Plant B				
P. O. Box 991		30x 2955		•
1 4 4 4 7 1 D = -1.	70000 60 61			
Little Rock, Arkansas		Johnson L		•
	wise	Johnson sion, KS G		
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH	Miss	sion, KS 6	. 205 <sub>πε</sub>	- day Bardanian And N
Little Rock, Arkaneas  13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH  [X] 13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree	Miss	sion, KS 6	. 205 <sub>πε</sub>	ariety Protection Act.)
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH	Missing History of the	Variety (See Section	. 205 <sub>πε</sub>	ariety Protection Act.)
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH	Missing History of the	Variety (See Section	on 52 of the Plant Vo	
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH  X 13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree  X 13B. Exhibit B, Botanical Desc	Missing History of the Variety	Variety (See Section	. 205 <sub>πε</sub>	
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH	Missing History of the Veriety	Variety (See Section	on 52 of the Plant Vo	
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH  [X] 13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree  [X] 13B. Exhibit B, Botanical Desc  [X] 13C. Exhibit C, Objective Desc	Missing History of the Variety	Variety (See Section	on 52 of the Plant Vo	
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH  [X] 13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree  [X] 13B. Exhibit B, Botanical Desc	Missing History of the Variety	Variety (See Section	on 52 of the Plant Vo	
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH  X 13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree  X 13B. Exhibit B, Botanical Desc  X 13C. Exhibit C, Objective Desc  X 13D. Exhibit D, Data Indicative	Missing Mistory of the Variety ription of the Variety of Novelty	Variety (See Section	on 52 of the Plant Vo	
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH  13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree  13B. Exhibit B, Botanical Desc  13C. Exhibit C, Objective Desc  13D. Exhibit D, Data Indicative  13E. Exhibit E, Statement of th	Mississipplicant	Sien, KS 6	m 52 of the Plant Vo	
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH  [X] 13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree  [X] 13B. Exhibit B, Botanical Desc  [X] 13C. Exhibit C, Objective Desc  [X] 13D. Exhibit D, Data Indicative  [X] 13E. Exhibit E, Statement of the  14A. Does the applicant(s) specify that  (See Section 83(a), (If "Yes," and	Mississed of the Variety of Novelty e Basis of Applicant' t seed of this variety swer 14B and 14C be	s Ownership be sold by variety low.)	on 52 of the Plant Vo	ss of certified seed?
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH    13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree   13B. Exhibit B, Botanical Desc   13C. Exhibit C, Objective Desc   13D. Exhibit D, Data Indicative   13E. Exhibit E, Statement of th   14A. Does the applicant(s) specify than (See Section 83(a), (If "Yes," and 14B. Does the applicant(s) specify than 14B. Does the applicant(s) specify than 14B.	Mississed in the Variety of the Variety of Novelty  Basis of Applicant's traced of this variety be	s Ownership be sold by variety low.)	n 52 of the Plant Vo	
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH  [X] 13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree  [X] 13B. Exhibit B, Botanical Desc  [X] 13C. Exhibit C, Objective Desc  [X] 13D. Exhibit D, Data Indicative  [X] 13E. Exhibit E, Statement of the  14A. Does the applicant(s) specify that  (See Section 83(a), (If "Yes," and	Mississed in the Variety of the Variety of Novelty  Basis of Applicant's t seed of this variety this variety be this variety be no?	s Ownership be sold by variety low.)  14C. If "Yes," to beyond breed	n 52 of the Plant Vo	ss of certified seed?
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH    13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree   13B. Exhibit B, Botanical Desc   13C. Exhibit C, Objective Desc   13D. Exhibit D, Data Indicative   13E. Exhibit E, Statement of th   14A. Does the applicant(s) specify than (See Section 83(a), (If "Yes," and 14B. Does the applicant(s) specify than 14B. Does the applicant(s) specify than 14B.	Mississed in the Variety of the Variety of Novelty  Basis of Applicant's traced of this variety be	s Ownership be sold by variety low.)	n 52 of the Plant Vo	ss of certified seed?
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH  X 13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree  X 13B. Exhibit B, Botanical Desc  X 13C. Exhibit C, Objective Desc  X 13D. Exhibit D, Data Indicative  X 13E. Exhibit E, Statement of th  14A. Does the applicant(s) specify than  (See Section 83(a), (If "Yes," and  14B. Does the applicant(s) specify than	Mississippoints of the Variety of Novelty  Basis of Applicant's traced of this variety aswer 14B and 14C be this variety be the Variety be th	s Ownership be sold by variety low.) 14C. If "Yes," to beyond breed X FOUNDATION	name only as a cla  Yes XNO  14B, how many generated?  REGISTERE	ss of certified seed? erations of production    X   CERTIFIED
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH  [X] 13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree  [X] 13B. Exhibit B, Botanical Desc  [X] 13C. Exhibit C, Objective Desc  [X] 13D. Exhibit D, Data Indicative  [X] 13E. Exhibit E, Statement of the  14A. Does the applicant(s) specify than  (See Section 83(a), (If "Yes," and  14B. Does the applicant(s) specify than  limited as to number of generation	Missing Mistory of the National Mistory of the National Mistory of the National Mistory of the Variety of Novelty  Basis of Applicant's traced of this variety aswer 14B and 14C be this variety be ms?  XYES No sample of basic seed	s Ownership be sold by variety low.)  14C. If "Yes," to beyond breed X FOUNDATIO	n 52 of the Plant Von 52 o	ss of certified seed?  erations of production  CERTIFIED  request before issu-
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACE  [X] 13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree  [X] 13B. Exhibit B, Botanical Desc  [X] 13C. Exhibit C, Objective Desc  [X] 13C. Exhibit D, Data Indicative  [X] 13E. Exhibit E, Statement of th  14A. Does the applicant(s) specify that  (See Section 83(a), (If "Yes," an  14B. Does the applicant(s) specify that  limited as to number of generation  The applicant declares that a viable sance of a certificate and will be reple	Missing Mistory of the National History of the National History of the National History of the Variety of Novelty  Basis of Applicant's seed of this variety be this variety be this variety be ms?  XYES NO Sample of basic seed enished periodically in	s Ownership be sold by variety low.)  14C. If "Yes," to beyond breed X FOUNDATIO of this variety with	n 52 of the Plant Von 52 o	ss of certified seed?  erations of production  CERTIFIED  request before issu- may be applicable.
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH  13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree  13B. Exhibit B, Botanical Desc  13C. Exhibit C, Objective Desc  13C. Exhibit D, Data Indicative  13E. Exhibit E, Statement of the  14A. Does the applicant(s) specify than  (See Section 83(a), (If "Yes," and  14B. Does the applicant(s) specify than limited as to number of generation.  The applicant declares that a viable seemed to the section of the secti	Missistery of the National History of the National History of the National History of the National History of Novelty  The Basis of Applicant's the Seed of this variety as wer 14B and 14C be this variety be this variety be the National History of Sample of basic seed enished periodically in the Sexually-reproduced.	s Ownership be sold by variety low.)  14C. If "Yes," to beyond breed X FOUNDATION of this variety with n accordance with	n 52 of the Plant Version 52 o	erations of production  CERTIFIED  request before issumay be applicable.  variety is distinct,
13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree  [X] 13A. Exhibit B, Botanical Desc  [X] 13C. Exhibit C, Objective Desc  [X] 13C. Exhibit D, Data Indicative  [X] 13C. Exhibit E, Statement of th  14A. Does the applicant(s) specify that  (See Section 83(a), (If "Yes," an  14B. Does the applicant(s) specify that  limited as to number of generation  The applicant declares that a viable spance of a certificate and will be repleted.	Missistery of the National History of the National History of the National History of the National History of Novelty  The Basis of Applicant's the Seed of this variety as wer 14B and 14C be this variety be this variety be the National History of Sample of basic seed enished periodically in the Sexually-reproduced.	s Ownership be sold by variety low.)  14C. If "Yes," to beyond breed X FOUNDATION of this variety with n accordance with	n 52 of the Plant Version 52 o	erations of production  CERTIFIED  request before issumay be applicable.  variety is distinct,
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH  [X] 13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree  [X] 13B. Exhibit B, Botanical Desc  [X] 13C. Exhibit C, Objective Desc  [X] 13C. Exhibit D, Data Indicative  [X] 13E. Exhibit E, Statement of the  14A. Does the applicant(s) specify than  (See Section 83(a), (If "Yes," and  14B. Does the applicant(s) specify than limited as to number of generation  The applicant declares that a viable of ance of a certificate and will be reple  The undersigned applicant(s) of this uniform, and stable as required in S Plant Variety Protection Act.	Missement submitted:  eding History of the Variety  cription of the Variety  cription of the Variety  e of Novelty  e Basis of Applicant'  t seed of this variety  swer 14B and 14C be  t this variety be  ns?  XYES  No  sample of basic seed  enished periodically is  s sexually-reproduced  ection 41 and is entite	s Ownership be sold by variety low.)  14C. If "Yes," to beyond breed X FOUNDATIO of this variety with n accordance with novel plant varie led to protection	n name only as a cla yes XNO  14B, how many gener seed? N REGISTERE I be deposited upon such regulations as ty believes that the under the provisions	ss of certified seed?  erations of production  CERTIFIED  request before issumay be applicable.  variety is distinct,  of Section 42 of the
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACK  [X] 13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree  [X] 13B. Exhibit B, Botanical Desc  [X] 13C. Exhibit C, Objective Desc  [X] 13D. Exhibit D, Data Indicative  [X] 13E. Exhibit E, Statement of th  14A. Does the applicant(s) specify than  (See Section 83(a), (If "Yes," and  14B. Does the applicant(s) specify than  limited as to number of generation  The applicant declares that a viable sance of a certificate and will be reple  The undersigned applicant(s) of this  uniform, and stable as required in S	Missement submitted:  eding History of the Variety  cription of the Variety  cription of the Variety  e of Novelty  e Basis of Applicant'  t seed of this variety  swer 14B and 14C be  t this variety be  ns?  XYES  No  sample of basic seed  enished periodically is  s sexually-reproduced  ection 41 and is entite	s Ownership be sold by variety low.)  14C. If "Yes," to beyond breed X FOUNDATIO of this variety with n accordance with novel plant varie led to protection	n name only as a cla yes XNO  14B, how many gener seed? N REGISTERE I be deposited upon such regulations as ty believes that the under the provisions	ss of certified seed?  erations of production  CERTIFIED  request before issumay be applicable.  variety is distinct,  of Section 42 of the
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH  [X] 13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree  [X] 13B. Exhibit B, Botanical Desc  [X] 13C. Exhibit C, Objective Desc  [X] 13C. Exhibit D, Data Indicative  [X] 13E. Exhibit E, Statement of the  14A. Does the applicant(s) specify than  (See Section 83(a), (If "Yes," and  14B. Does the applicant(s) specify than limited as to number of generation  The applicant declares that a viable of ance of a certificate and will be reple  The undersigned applicant(s) of this uniform, and stable as required in S Plant Variety Protection Act.	Missement submitted:  eding History of the Variety  cription of the Variety  cription of the Variety  e of Novelty  e Basis of Applicant'  t seed of this variety  swer 14B and 14C be  t this variety be  ns?  XYES  No  sample of basic seed  enished periodically is  s sexually-reproduced  ection 41 and is entite	s Ownership be sold by variety low.)  14C. If "Yes," to beyond breed X FOUNDATIO of this variety with n accordance with novel plant varie led to protection	n name only as a cla yes XNO  14B, how many gener seed? N REGISTERE I be deposited upon such regulations as ty believes that the under the provisions	ss of certified seed?  erations of production  CERTIFIED  request before issumay be applicable.  variety is distinct,  of Section 42 of the
13. CHECK BOX BELOW FOR EACH ATTACH  [X] 13A. Exhibit A, Origin and Bree  [X] 13B. Exhibit B, Botanical Desc  [X] 13C. Exhibit C, Objective Desc  [X] 13C. Exhibit D, Data Indicative  [X] 13E. Exhibit E, Statement of the  14A. Does the applicant(s) specify than  (See Section 83(a), (If "Yes," and  14B. Does the applicant(s) specify than limited as to number of generation  The applicant declares that a viable of ance of a certificate and will be reple  The undersigned applicant(s) of this uniform, and stable as required in S Plant Variety Protection Act.	Missement submitted:  eding History of the Variety  cription of the Variety  cription of the Variety  e of Novelty  e Basis of Applicant'  t seed of this variety  swer 14B and 14C be  t this variety be  ns?  XYES  No  sample of basic seed  enished periodically is  s sexually-reproduced  ection 41 and is entite	s Ownership be sold by variety low.)  14C. If "Yes," to beyond breed X FOUNDATIO of this variety with n accordance with novel plant varie led to protection	n name only as a cla yes XNO  14B, how many gener seed? N REGISTERE I be deposited upon such regulations as ty believes that the under the provisions	ss of certified seed?  erations of production  CERTIFIED  request before issumay be applicable.  variety is distinct,  of Section 42 of the

(SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT)

### EXHIBIT A

### Origin and Breeding History

### **ATLAS**

Beginning in 1971 Atlas was developed using an average of three cycles of phenotypic recurrent selection for anthracnose resistance followed by one cycle of selection for bacterial wilt resistance. Anthracnose screening was done in greenhouse benches using the basic technique (pure culture) described in ARS-NC-19. Bacterial wilt screening was done using the procedures described in ARS-NC-19. A minimum of two hundred plants per germplasm pool were intercrossed following each cycle of selection for anthracnose resistance. Sufficient numbers were screened ( $\frac{1}{2}$  110,000 for total anthracnose program) so that plants were selected for vigor as well as anthracnose resistance. Final selections (1407) were made from the bacterial wilt nursery in November, 1973, keeping approximately the top 8% based on resistance and general desirability.

Approximately 50% of the parentage traces to the hardy germplasm pool predominately Titan, Vernal and Weevlchek, and 50% to the Flemish germplasm pool predominately Anchor and Saranac. Numerous selections were also made from many other sources including Beltsville 1An4, 2An4, and 3An4. The Flemish and hardy germplasm pools were first combined for the production of breeder seed.

Breeder seed (2 crops) of Atlas was produced on the 1407 parent clones in the greenhouse (16 hour daylength) at Brookston, Indiana, between November 16, 1973 and August 30, 1974. This original production is sufficient for the life of the variety and is held in controlled storage. This will ensure stability of the variety. Certified seed will be produced only from breeders or foundation seed. Seed produced from certified seed will not be recognized as Atlas.

### AMENDED EXHIBIT A

ATLAS: Origin and Breeding History

It is confirmed that during seed production no variants beyond the limits defined under Exhibit C have been found and that the multiplication procedure will ensure that the seed being sold as Atlas will not have shifted in characteristics beyond accepted limits for alfalfa varieties.

### ADDENDUM TO EXHIBIT A

### ATLAS - - UNIFORMITY

It is also confirmed that:

"ATLAS MEETS PRESENTLY ACCEPTABLE LEVELS OF UNIFORMITY FOR ALFALFA VARIETIES."

NORTH AMERICAN PLANT BREEDERS

Cluz. 1, 1978

Giles E. Dixon

Research Director

### EXHIBIT B

### Botanical description of Atlas

Atlas exhibits good seedling vigor, upright growth, fast recovery after cutting and fall growth similar to Saranac. Atlas is moderately uniform in plant type (leaf size, stem size, fall dormancy) with some plants showing either Flemish or northern hardy characteristics with most intermediate.

Atlas flowers 2-3 days earlier than Vernal and 2-3 days later than Citation. Flowers are mostly shades of purple with a few blues and very few cream.

Bacterial wilt resistance is similar to Vernal with anthracnose resistance in the 50% range. Resistance to downy mildew is moderate with leafhopper yellowing tolerance slightly above Saranac. Forage yield is high with seed yield similar to Titan.

## OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY Alfalfa (Medicago sativa L. complex)

NAME OF APPLICANT(S) North American Plant Breeders	VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY DESIGNATION
ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Code)	Atlas
P. O. Box 991	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Little Rock, Arkansas 72203	7600022
Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal character of this variety in the boxes Place a zero in first box (e.g. 0 8 9 or 0 9 ) when number is either 99 or less or 9	
NOTE: For single plant data a minimum of 100 plants is suggested	
1. PRIMARY AREA OF ADAPTATION	INDICATE AREA WHERE TEST WAS
All except # 5	CONDUCTED. FURTHER EXPLANATION CAN GO IN COMMENTS AT THE END OF THE FORM,
1 = NORTHWEST 2 = NORTHCENTRAL 3 = NORTHEAST	\ <u></u>
4 = SOUTHEAST 5 = SOUTHWEST 6 = SOUTHERN PLAINS 7 = INTERMOUNTAIN	2, 4, 6
2. WINTER HARDINESS	
DCR PER TOWN 1981  1 - NON-HARDY (Mess sirss) 3 = INTERMEDIATE NON-HARDY  5 = MODERATELY HARDY (Serenac)  0 = EXTREMELY HARDY (Norseman)	2 AREA TESTED
SOURCE OF INFORMATION: 1 - ANTICIPATED 2 - MEASURED	
3. FALL GROWTH HABIT	
1 = ERECT (Mesa Sirsa) 3 = SEMIERECT (DuPuits) 5 = INTERMEDIATE (Saranac) 7 = SEMIDECUMENT (Vernal) 9 = DECUMBENT (Norsement)	2 AREA TESTED
4. RECOVERY AFTER FIRST SPRING CUTTING	
1 = VERY FAST (Mesa Sirsa) 3 = FAST (Saranac) 5 = INTERMEDIATE 7 = SLOW (Vernal) 9 = VERY SLOW (Norseman)	2 AREA TESTED
5. FLOWERING DATE (FIRST SPRING GROWTH)	
DAYS EARLIER THAN	AREA TESTED
6. CROWN TYPE	
1 = SPREADING ROOTS 5 = BROAD (Vernal) 9 = NARROW (Mesa Sirsa)  3 = SPREADING RHIZOMES (Teton) 7 = INTERMEDIATE (Saranac)	2 AREA TESTED
7. PLANT COLOR	
3 = DARK GREEN (Weevichek) 6 = GREEN (Vernal) 7 = LIGHT GREEN (Ranger)	2 AREA TESTED
8. HAIRINESS	
% PLANTS WITH PUBESCENT STEMS	7 % PLANTS WITH PUBESCENT PODS
9. POD SHAPE	<del> </del>
0 8 2 % PLANTS WITH TIGHT COILS 0 1 8 % PLANTS WITH LOOSE COILS	0 0 0 % PLANTS WITH SICKLE PODS (Less than 1 coil)

GITEM LEN	GTH FR	EQUENCY							RD V	ARII	TIES 1/		
-		1			TH FREQU					70	71 80	81 +	AVERAGE
VARIETY NAME	O – 5	6 – 10 mm.	11 – 15 mm. %	16 <i>–</i> 20 ∵mm, %	21 – 30 mm. %	31 – 40 mm. %	41 – 50 mm. %	mm. %	Ī	.m.	/ 1 80 mm. %	mm.	STEM LENGTH
	<u>%</u>	%	70	/6	-~		75						
									ļ				
	· · · ·		<del>  </del>		-	l					- <del></del>		
					ļ			··	<u> </u>				
										·			
	·									i			
			<del>  </del>		ļ	ļ			-				
			<u> </u>						<u> </u>				
												1	
11, FLOWER COLO	Ä 3/ (DE	TERMINE	E COLOR C	N FRES	HLY OPE	NED FLO	WERS)	<u> </u>	<u>.                                    </u>		<u> </u>		<u> </u>
<del></del>	URPLE		9 % VA		1		% YELLOV	v 0	o	ī] "	CREAM	0 0	0 % WHITE
12. DISEASE, INSEC							•					ck cultiva	rs used.)
			,	% RES	ISTANT	AVG. SE	VERITY	ASI	- 1				ATION_4/
DISEASE	<u> </u>	CULTIVA	.н		ANTS								<del></del>
	(SUBA	MITTED)		31	.2	2.2	:4		ļ		iv. Mi able 1		9/5
	1			34	.2	2.3	80	.32		, , ,	anië 1	.07	
BACTERIAL WILT	IRES. C	K.) VERN	<u> AL </u>	<del> </del> -		4.01		7					
-	(sus. c	K.) NARR	AGANSET	<u>r  0</u>	<u>. 9</u>	4.0	)1	-}				<u>.</u>	
	(SUBMITTED)		48	48			ļ		Αv	. 3 te	ests		
			64						(2	for S	Sarana	c) '	
ANTHRACNOSE	THRACNOSE (RES. CK.) ARC				-		$\dashv$	,	Table 11				
	(SUS. CK.) SARANAC		7.8					<u> </u>					
	(SUBM	II IED)			<del>`</del>	<del> </del>		-		ĺ			
COMMON LEAF	(RES. C	K.) RAMS	BEY					_		ļ			
5.01	(SUS. C	K.) RANG	SER	1									
<u></u>	1	<u></u>		1.0						1/2	nana (	****	·1075
	(SUBM	ITTED)	·····	12	2.0			_		Ka	nsas S	state	19/5
DOWNY MILDEW	(RES. C	CK.) SARA	ANAC	21	.5					<b>(</b> ₹	able :	<b>L</b> 2)	
					1			7	<b>.</b>		(Table 12)		
***	(SUS. C	CK.) KANZ	ZA	┤─┤	.1			_ <u> </u>		<u></u>	<u> </u>		
	(SUBM	ITTED)			0.0	5.51					iv. M		.975
				20	0.0	3.3	34	.49	)	T)	able :	14)	
PHYTOPHTHORA ROOT ROT	(HES.	CK.) AGA	16			1			-		1		
	(SUS, (	CK.) SARA	ANAC		0.0	5.7	26	_		<u> </u>			
•	(SUBN	NTTED)									-		
	1		· · ·	1									
OTHER	(RES.	CK.)		<del>- </del>						1			
	(SUS.	CK.)								<u> </u>			<u> </u>
1/ Preferred standards of incandescent file	: Sarana	c, Vernal, I	Norseman,	Lahontar	, Mesa Sir	sa, Twelve	hours ligh	nt at 25° C	with	20,0	00 lux of	ool white	florescent; 2,000 lux
2/ From cotyledonary	r node to	tip of sten	n 20 days a	ter plant	ing.								
3/ For further clarific 4/ Give: The instituti	ation con on in cha	suit USDA rge of test,	. Agricultur , (2) year, a	ai Handb nd (3) lo	ook No. 4 cation of t	44. est. Descr	ibe test pr	ocedure if	it dif	fers fi	om proce	dure sugg	ested in ARS-NC-19,
September 1974.		,					-						

		% RESISTANT	AVG. SEVERITY	ASI	vars. Circle check cultivars used.)
DISEASE	CULTIVAR	PLANTS	INDEX (ASI)	LSD .05	TEST, YEAR & LOCATION 4/
		·	'	İ	
	(SUBMITTED)				
OTHER	(RES. CK.)				
,				1 )	
	(SUS, CK.)		·		
	(SUBMITTED)			'	
	(000)			1	
ОТНЕЯ	(RES. CK.)			]	
					. •
	(SUS, CK.)	% SEEDLING	AVG' SEVERITY	ASI	
INSECT	CULTIVAR	SURVIVAL	INDEX (ASI)	LSD .05	TEST, YEAR & LOCATION 4/
	(SUBMITTED)			[	
	1000mm ( teo)		- <u>-</u>	1 1	·
PEA APHID	(RES. CK.) KANZA			[ ]	
,	/=\/= A// \ BANGEB		,		
<del></del>	(SUS. CK.) RANGER				
	(SUBMITTED)		•		
				]	
SPOTTED ALFALFA	(RES, CK.) KANZA	<u> </u>		'	
APHID	OUR OK L BANGER	'			
,	(SUS, CK.) RANGER		AVG. SEVERITY	ASI	
INSECT	CULTIVAR	% DEFOLIATION	INDEX (ASI)	LSD .05	TEST, YEAR & LOCATION 4/
;	(SUBMITTED)				. •
				1 .	
ALFALFA WEEVIL	(RES. CK!) ARK			4 }	
	(SUS. CK.) VERNAL				
	. "	% RESISTANT	EMERGED ADULTS		
INSECT	CULTIVAR	PLANTS	PER PLANT	LSD .05	TEST, YEAR & LOCATION 4/
•	(SUBMITTED)		, ,		, .
		,	_	1	
ALFALFA SEED CHALCID	(RES, CK.) LAHONTAN	ļ. <u> —                                   </u>		4	
CHALOID	(SUS. CK.) SONORA				
<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	% RESISTANT	AVG. SEVERITY	ASI	
INSECT	CULTIVAR	PLANTS	INDEX (ASI)	LSD .05	TEST, YEAR & LOCATION 4/
	(SUBMITTED)	38	3.95		NAPB Ames, Iowa 1975
	Weevlchek		0.70	1	(Table 17)
POTATO LEAF- HOPPER	(RÉS. CK.)	87	2.70	-	,
	(sus. ck.) Ranger	24	4.16		,
-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7.10	<del> </del> -	
	(SUBMITTED)			_[	
,			1		
OTHER	(RES. CK.)	<u> </u>		-	
	1	1	1	Ţ	•

<sup>4/</sup> Give: The institution in charge of test, (2) year, and (3) location of test. Describe test procedure if it differs from procedure suggested in ARSNC19, September 1974.

PAGE 4 OF 4 12. DISEASE, INSECT, AND NEMATODE RESISTANCE: (Enter resistance of submitted and check cultivars. Circle check cultivars used.)

INSECT		CULTIVAR	% RESISTANT PLANTS	AVG. SEVERITY INDEX (ASI)	ASI LSD .05	TEST, YEAR & LOCATION 4/
OTHER	(SUBM	ITTED)				
	(RES.	CK.)				
	(\$U\$. (	CK.)				
NEMATODE		CULTIVAR	% RESISTANT PLANTS	INDEX (ASI)	ASI LSD .05	TEST, YEAR & LOCATION 4/
	(SUBM	(TTED)		·		
STEM	(RES.	CK.) LAHONTAN			·	
NEMATODE	(8U\$. (	CK.) RANGER		:		
	(SUBM	ITTED)				
NORTHERN ROOT KNOT	(RES.	CK.) NEV. SYN. XX				
NEMATODE	(SUS. (	CK.) LAHONTAN				
	(SUBM	ITTED)			-	٠,
SOUTHERN ROOT KNOT	(RES.	CK.) MOAPA 69				
NEMATODE	(sus. d	CK.) LAHONTAN			<u> </u>	
.	(SUBM	ITTED)				
OTHER	(RES.	CK.)				
	(SUS, (	CK.)		-		
13. INDICATE A	VARIET	Y THAT MOST CLOS	LY RESEMBLES T	HE VARIETY SUB	MITTED FOR	THE FOLLOWING CHARACTERS:
CHARACTER	3		IETY	CHARAC	TER	VARIETY
AREA OF ADAPT	ATION	Anchor		PLANT HEIGH	r	Anchor
RECOVERY AFTER CUTTING		Anchor		WINTER HARD	INESS	Anchor

### REFERENCES

Barnes, D.K., and C.H. Hanson, An Illustrated Summary of Genetic Traits in Tetraploid and Diploid Alfalfa, ARS Technical Bul. 1370.
Barnes, D.K., et al, Standard Tests to Characterize Pest Resistance in Alfalfa Varieties. ARS-NC-19, September 1974.
Nittler, L.W., G.W. McKee, and J.L. Newcomer, Principles and Methods of Testing Alfalfa Seed for Varietal Purity. New York Agricultural Experiment USDA Agricultural Handbook No. 424.

### COMMENTS

TABLE 2

### 1974 Alfalfa Fall Dormancy Trial\*

### University of Minnesota

### 1974 Data

	Minn. Seed		Numi	ber (	of p	lants	in eac	ch clas	ss**			Average
Entry	Lot #	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Score
African	2437	. 0	0	2	4	19	13	6	0	0	0	4.47
DuPuits		0	0	0	0	1	19	33	18	1	0	5.97
Saranac		0	0	. 0	0	1	11	21	25	12	0	6.46
Ranger	2449	0	0	0	, 0	2	5	15	27	5	1	6.49
Vernal VCC72	2695	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	16	15	1	7.21
Norseman	2405	0	0	. 0	٠, ٥	0	0	1	6	35	22	8.14
LSD 5% 1%		•				•						.54 .72
CV	,											6.0

<sup>\*</sup> Seeded 5-23-74 and thinned to one plant per 10-12 inches of row. Four replications. 22 ft. row per plot. Plants clipped 9-9-74. Fall dormancy reading made October 10, 1974.

### Your entries Morth American Plant Breeders

Citation Br. Citation Fdn.	3011 3012	0 0.	0	0	0	0 1	9 7	15 16	18 21	13	2 3	6.75 6.94
NAPB 41 Atlas	3058	0 :	0	0	0	0	<b>13</b>	23	26	17	1	6.62
NAPB 42 Victor	3048	Ó	0	0	0	0	3	24	21	8	2	6.69
NAPB 43 Olympic	3049	0	Õ	Ō	Ō	1	13	17	28	11	2	6.58
NAPB 44 Apollo	3050	· 0	Ŏ	Õ	Ő	Ö	4	25	28	17	0	6.75

Mailed to Dr. Jim Moutray

0=23-14

laddia de Ælling

cc: Duane M. Smith

<sup>\*\*</sup> Fall dormancy scored as 0-9: 0 = 18 in. or higher, 1 = 16"-18", 2 = 14"-16", 3 = 12"-14" - 4 = 10"-12", 5 = 8"-10", 6 = 6"-8", 7 = 4"-6", 8 = 2"-4", 9 = 0"-2".

TABLE 4
1974 Spaced Plant Nursery<sup>1</sup>, NAPB Ames, Iowa
Fall Dormancy

	au											
1975	Average	9.5	10.1	10.6	8.6	9.4	9.4	8.7	8.6	8.2	10.1	
	19	0	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	18	0	0	က	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	
	17	-	0	2	÷	0	0	0	0	0	က	
	16	4	က	7	က	-	0	2	0	-	-	
	15	∞	9	9	4		က	2	4	2	9	
	14	15	თ	22	6	9	9	7	11	2	<u>6</u>	
in inches) <sup>2</sup> Each Category	13	12	9	11	7	10	7	9	2	4	14	
in inches) Each Categ	12	30	25	37	27	13	18	10	17	15	37	
	Ħ	10	17	15	20	17	20	15	17	16	24	
Data (height of Plants in	10	56	53	28	53	30	17	18	22	22	39	
Data ( of Pla	6	17	15	Q	14	10	18	14	15	20	18	
1975 D Number o	∞	15	33	20	14	16	27	25	20	24	56	
Nam 1	7	16	23	0	8	8	17	15	10	25	10	
	9	12	16	10	14	ω	10	50	7	19	12	
	2	101	2	9	4	9	9	თ	2	13	7	
	4	8	2	က		5	ည	9	က	σ	က	
	က	9	<b>~</b>	_	2	က	4	4	<del>, -</del> 1	5	-	
	2	2	8	2	4	-	7	0		r.	0	
	-		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	
	Entry	Apollo	Atlas	01ympic	Victor	Nugget	Citation	Titan	Anchor	Vernal	Saranac	

Seeded 5-14-74 and thinned to 12" spacing June '74.

2 1975 cut September 2, read October 14, average of 178 plants per variety.

TABLE 5 Fall dormancy of alfalfa varieties in forage trials

						NADO				
	ï	NAPB	NAPB Ames, Iowa	<b>-</b>		Brookston	Univ.Neb. <sup>2</sup>	Texas A & MI	Univ. Wisc.	
Entry	10-22-74	10-14-75	10-22-74	10-14-75	10-15-75	10-28-75	Mead 10-6-75	Busnland 10-15-75	Janesville 10-22-75	
Apollo	6.12	11.6	6.0	14.1	5.9	5.4		11.4	7.62	ł
Atlas	, <b>!</b>	ì	6.5	14.1	6.4	4.0	}	13.0	!	
Olympic	7.32	13.7	6.5	14.1	7.3	2.2	4.25	13.4	9.35	
Victor	7.20	13.6	6.5	14.1	9.9	3.0	2.00	14.6	8.26	
Nugget	5.6	12.4	5.4	12.7	5.0	8.0	: :	1		
Citation	6.1	12.2	5.6	14.1	4.9	6.0	2.00	1	1 1	
Anchor	5.4	13.2	5.6	13.2	5.4	6.4	;	9.1	1	
Titan	4.5	11.9	4.8	12.0	5.0	7.8		9.5	!!	
Vernal <sup>3</sup>	4.4	8.6	4.7	11.3	:	5.4	5.75	9.1	6.12	
Saranac	7.0	14.0	6.7	14.3	5.7	5.2	4.75	10.6	8.06	
Agate	5.2	11.6	4.8	12.2	4.9	8.4	-	8.3	!	
LSD 5%		1.9	•	φ.	·	1.25				
c. v.		11.9		4.9		19.5				
Seeded	4-74		5-74	4	4-75	4-75	4-74	8-74	5-75	

Height in inches

4 Higher ratings indicate less fall growth

Left out of data from 1975 seedings. Seed received as certified Vernal does not have Vernal fall dormancy characteristics.

TABLE (

Crown Width of Alfalfa Varieties at Ames, Iowa

	Av. Width <sup>1</sup>		
Variety	Inches	No. Plants	
Anchor	4.78	139	
Nugget	4.48	130	
Citation	4.22	156	
Apollo	4.05	195	
Atlas	4.73	199	
Olympic .	4.34	185	
Victor	4.79	158	
Titan	4.94	160	
Saranac	3.89	207	٠

Seeded in 30" rows May 1974 and thinned to one plant per foot. Measured October 31, 1975.

TABLE 7

Pod Shape and Pubescence of NAPB Alfalfa Varieties, October 1975, Warden, Washington

Variety	% Plants With <sup>1</sup> Pubescent Pods	% Plants With Tight Pods	% Plants With Loose Pods	% Plants With Sickle Pods
Anchor	89	98	14	0
Nugget	99	.87	13	0
Citation	98	06	10	0
Apollo	82	88	12	0
Atlas	77	82	18	0
01ympic	79	81	. 19	0
Victor	93	84	16	0
1 1-4 rating,	1 = most hair	1 + 2 = % pubescent pods	nt pods	

TABLE 10
1975 Bacterial Wilt Trial
University of Minnesota

Entry	Minnesota Seed Lot No.	Average severity index*	Actual percent resistant plants**
Narragansett	AS-4	4.01	0.9
Ranger	AR-132	2.79	17.9
Vernal .	FC 33696	2.30	34.2
LSD 5% level LSD 1% level CV		.32 .42 8.5%	

\*Calculated on basis of average severity infection of individual plants in each of 3 replications (about 75 plants observed per entry per rep.).

Your entry(ies):	Jim Moutray	- North American Plant Breeders	
Apollo (NAPB-44) Olympic (NAPB-43) Victor (NAPB-42)	3137 3138 3139	2.32 2.23 2.62	29.1 31.5 22.2
Atlas (NAPB-41)	3140	2.24	31.2

<sup>\*\*</sup> Plants scored 0 and 1 (on a 1-5 scale) considered resistant.

Anthracnose resistance of Apollo, Atlas, Olympic and Victor alfalfa

Virginia Poly. Institute <sup>1</sup> Glenn Buss May – June 1975	. Institute <sup>l</sup> Buss e 1975	Kansas State Univ. <sup>2</sup> Don Stuteville Aug Sept. 1975	univ. <sup>2</sup> 11e 1975	North Carolina <sup>3</sup> State-Thad Busbice May-June 1975	NAPB <sup>4</sup> Ames, Iowa Nov-Dec.1974	19 Foi Total Bri	1975 NAPB <sup>5</sup> Forage Trial Brookston,In
% resistant <sup>6</sup> plants	Total plants rated	% survivors	Plants tested	% resistant plants	% resistant <sup>6</sup> plants	plants <sub>l</sub>	10-28-75
69	32	3.3	240	34	11.7	165	5.67
96	24	40.8	244	59	44.6	172	3.0
87	31	42.4	239	41	54.5	182	2.2
82	41	50.8	235	59	40.1	155	1.4
!	¦	. !	i E	!	10.1	143	6.2
98.	28	2.5	508	21	:	, ·	1
91	56	:	ľ	1	<b>!</b>		;
	•	76.4	179	99	49.9	176	1.8
	!	. !	;	; ;		1	2.2
		21.2		17			1.2
	resistant <sup>o</sup> plants 69 87 85 36	anto To	84	3.3 40.8 42.4 50.8  76.4	3.3 240 40.8 244 42.4 239 50.8 235 76.4 179 76.4 179	8 survivors Plants % resistant tested plants 3.3 240 34 40.8 244 59 42.4 239 41 50.8 235 59	% survivors       Plants plants       % resistant plants       % resistant plants       plants plants         3.3       240       34       11.7       165         40.8       244       59       44.6       172         42.4       239       41       54.5       182         50.8       235       59       40.1       155                76.4       179       66       49.9       176                76.4       179       66       49.9       176                17.2             17.5             17.2              17.2                17.2                      <

<sup>&</sup>quot;Inoculation did not take too well. Damping off also caused problems and severely reduced numbers before and during the inoculation. The data are not much more than rough indicators of resistance."

<sup>2</sup> No ratings taken, survivors considered resistant.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Test was not as good as hoped, higher than usual environmental factor. Value for Saranac is unrealistically high."

Test only fair as rhizoctonia invaded benches and made determinations difficult.

Ratings complicated by presence of mildew plus Leptosphaerulina and common leafspot

<sup>6</sup> Test conducted using Barnes basic scheme. Ratings of 1 + 2 = resistance.

<sup>7</sup> Lower numbers are most desirable.

Anthracnose (Colletotrichum trifolii)

		Cond	ucted	
Variety	Year tested	by	at	<pre>% Res. Plants</pre>
Atlas	1979	NAPB	Ames, Ia.	52.5*
Iroquois				6.2
Maris Phoenix				11.5
Saranac - Sus.				6.3
Arc - Res.				65.0
L.S.D. (.05) C.V. %				8.1 18.7

<sup>\*</sup>Scoring system used: Plants scored 1 and 2 (on a 1-5 scale, 5=dead) considered resistant. Growth chamber test.

ANTHRACNOSE TEST

# NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY--DR. THAD BUSBICE ARS/USDA

# May 1976

% Survivors	39.4	44.8	0.6	37.9	2.5	26.2	48.0	37.1	13.0
Variety	01ympic	Victor	Apollo	Atlas	Saranac (Susceptible Check)	Saranac AR (Resistant Check)	Arc " "	Av. of two resistant checks	LSD .05% level

<sup>1</sup> Very severe test with all percentages low

TABLE 12

Downy mildew resistance of Apollo, Atlas, Olympic and Victor alfalfa in Kansas State test by Dr. Don Stuteville-September, 1975

% Plants Mildew Free

1.5 1.7  18.5 11.7  30.0 30.4  ic 32.8 19.7  r 28.4 14.5  ac (Res. Ck.) 52.8 27.3  r 36.8 39.0   1  (Sus. Ck.) 1.0 1.9  (Sus. Ck.) 1.04 9.8			Isolate	,
18.5 11.7 30.4 30.4 30.4 32.8 19.7 28.4 14.5 27.3 36.8 39.0 10.4 9.8	Entry	5 I	17	I 5 and I $7^1$ in combination
ic     30.0       32.8     19.7       r     28.4     14.5       ac (Res. Ck.)     52.8     27.3       r     36.8     39.0       l         (Sus. Ck.)     1.0     1.9       LSD. 05     10.4     9.8	Apollo	18.5	11.7	4.7
ic 32.8 19.7 r 28.4 14.5 ac (Res. Ck.) 52.8 27.3 r 36.8 39.0 (Sus. Ck.) 1.0 1.9 LSD. 05 10.4 9.8	Atlas	30.0	30.4	12.0
r 28.4 14.5 ac (Res. Ck.) 52.8 27.3 r 36.8 39.0 1 (Sus. Ck.) 1.0 1.9 LSD05 10.4 9.8	01ympic	32.8	19.7	12.6
ac (Res. Ck.) 52.8 27.3 r 36.8 39.0 1 (Sus. Ck.) 1.0 1.9 LSD05 10.4 9.8	Victor	28.4	14.5	5.7
r 36.8 39.0 1 (Sus. Ck.) 1.0 1.9 LSD05 10.4 9.8	Saranac (Res. Ck.)	52.8	27.3	21.5
1 (Sus. ck.) 1.0 1.9 LSD05 10.4 9.8	Anchor	36.8	39.0	21.6
1 (Sus. ck.) 1.0 1.9 LSD05 10.4 9.8	Arc	;	;	4.8
(Sus. Ck.) 1.0 1.9 SD05 10.4 9.8	Vernal		:	4.2
(Sus. Ck.) 1.0 1.9 SD05 10.4 9.8	Agate	1	!	7.1
10.4 9.8	Kanza (Sus. Ck.)	1.0	1.9	1.1
	LSD05	10.4	8.6	21.2

Very severe test



Department of Plant Pathology Dickens Hall Manhattan, Kansas 66506 Phone: 913 532-6176

August 26, 1976

Dr. Jim Moutray North American Plant Breeders RFD #3 Ames, Iowa 50010

Dear Jim:

Your requested downy mildew evaluations are as follows:

Entry	% of mildew-free plants
Victor	5.3
Olympic	13.4
Atlas	13.5
Saranac (SCC 72)	21.7
Saranac AR	23.6
LSD .05	4.8

I am also enclosing raw data which you may wish to check.

Sincerely,

Dow Shilevelle

Donald L. Stuteville Forage Pathologist

DLS/slt

Enclosures

TABLE 14
1975 Phytophthora Trial
University of Minnesota

Entry	Minn. Seed Lot No.	Average severity index*	Actual Percent resistant plants**
Saranac (SCC 72)	3043	5.26	0.0
15 x 17 (Syn. 2)	2895	5.55	0.0
Agate (Foundation)	2892	3.34	20.0
LSD 5% level		0.49	
LSD 1% level		0.65	
cv	. *	8.5%	

<sup>\*</sup>Calculated on basis of average severity infection of individual plants in each of 4 replications.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Plants scored 1 and 2 (on a 1-6 scale) considered resistant.

Your entry(ies):	Jim Moutray - Nor	th American Plant	<u>Bree</u> ders
Atlas	3140	5.51	0.0
Olympic	3138	4.75	1.5
Victor	3139	4.87	0.0
Apollo	3137	3.90	12.5

TABLE 17

1974 Spaced Plant Nursery, NAPB, Ames, Iowa

Leafhopper Yellowing Tolerance<sup>1</sup>

Entry	% resistance <sup>2</sup>	Average Severity <sup>2</sup> Index	Number of Plants Rated
Apollo	59	3.40	178
Atlas	38	3.95	133
01ympic	65	3.31	175
Victor	56	3.38	146
Nugget	45	3.83	120
Citation	55	3.48	154
Titan	53	3.52	144
Anchor	16	4.63	128
Vernal	55	3.56	163
Saranac	37	3.97	201
Ranger	24	4.16	186
Weevlchek	87	2.70	198
TSD .05		.37	
C.V. %		16.2	

Seeded 5-14-74 and thinned to 12" spacing June '74, ratings made 8-27-75.

Procedures used are those described in ARS-NC-19,  $1\,$  -  $9\,$  rating,  $1\,$  -  $3\,$  counted as resistant. Lower ASI ratings are most desirable.

TABLE 18

Leafhopper yellowing tolerance of alfalfa varieties in NAPB forage trials  $^{\mathtt{I}}$ 

Entry	Ames, 7-17-74	Iowa 7-6-75	Ames, Iowa Ames, Iowa 7-17-74 7-6-75 7-17-74 7-6-75	Iowa 7-6-75	Ames, Iowa 8-28-75		Brookston, Ind. 7-1-75 8-13-75	Brookston, Inc 8-13-75	Ind. Brookston,Ind. 6-26-75 8-25-75	ton, Ind. 8-25-75	Average
Apollo	4.8	3.2	3.6	3.8	3.2	3.0	6.0	5.8	4.2	2.8	4.0
Anchor	5.2	4.8	5.0	5.0	4.0	7.4	7.4	8.9	4.2	4.4	5.4
Ätlas	;	:	4.0	4.6	3.4	;	:	3.8	3.0	3.4	1
01ympic	4.8	4.2	4.0	5.0	2.8	6.2	2.8	4.4	2.6	2.8	4.3
Victor	4.4	3.0	3.2	4.2	2.8	5.8	7.2	5.6	3.6	2.4	4.2
Nugget	5.2	4.0	3.8	4.4	2.6	6.2	7.2	6.4	3.6	4.8	4.8
Citation	5.0	3.8	4.0	4.2	2.6	6.4	9.9	3.6	2.8	5.6	4.2
Verna 12	С	œ		<b>y</b>	. :	ע	7	ب «	1	;	1
Saranac	5.4	5.2	4.6	5.0	4.0	7.5	8.2	5.6	3.6	2.4	5.2
Titan	4.2	3.0	3.6	4.0	2.0	4.4	4.6	3.6	2.0	2.2	3.4
Aĝate	5.6	5.3	4.2	5.0	4.2	3.8	9.9	7.0	4.4	4.0	5.0
Seeded	4	4-74	5-74	74	4-75	4-74		5-74	4-	4-75	
1 Lower numbers indicate less yellowing	numbers in	dicate	less yel	lowing		-					

I COMER NUMBERS INCIDENTES SELLOMING

2 Left out of data from 1975 seedings. Seed received as certified Vernal does not have Vernal fall dormancy characteristics.

TABLE 19

Bloom Note on Alfalfa Varieties at Hutchinson, Kansas

Variety	$\%$ Flowering $^{f 1}$	
Titan	27	
Anchor	39	
Vernal	23	
Saranac	42	
Apollo	33	
Atlas	48	
Victor	52	
01ympic	38	
Citation	61	
Nugget	47	•
Agate	27	
Kanza	25	

TABLE 20

1975 Seed Yield of alfalfa varieties at Warden, Washington<sup>1</sup>

Entry	% of checks <sup>1</sup>
Apollo	128
Atlas	132
Olympic	135
Victor	81
Nugget	135
Citation	134
Anchor	79
Vernal	85
Saranac	86
Titan	137
Agate	100
LSD 5%	45.9
c. v.	69.4
Checks average lbs/acre =	= 659

1 Checks are Titan, Anchor, Vernal and Saranac Seeded May 1974 in 44" rows at 2 lbs/acre

### Exhibit D (second revision 11-16-81)

### 'Atlas'

'Atlas' is most similar to the variety 'Olympic'.
'Atlas' differs from 'Olympic' by having greater resistance to anthracnose race 2. 'Atlas' also differs from 'Olympic' by its greater fall dormancy and less tolerance to potatoe leafhopper yellowing.

### EXHIBIT E

Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownership Atlas was bred by North American Plant Breeders.

### BILL OF SALE AND ASSIGNMENT

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS that AGRIPRO BIOSCIENCES INC., a Delaware corporation (hereinafter referred to as "Seller"), pursuant to that certain Asset Purchase Agreement of even date herewith by and between Seller and AGR ACQUISITION CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation (hereinafter referred to as "Buyer") and for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, does hereby grant, bargain, sell, and interest in and to the plant varieties owned/registered by Seller and more particularly set forth on Exhibit A attached hereto for which PVP Certificates have been issued by or may be pending before the U. S. Department of Agriculture.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD UNTO PURCHASER, its successors and assigns

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Seller has executed this Bill of Sale and Assignment as of the 30th day of June, 1994.

AGRIPRO BIOSCIENCES INC.

BY:	U.a. Sama	
Title:	Pros. dent	

STATE OF KANSAS, COUNTY OF JOHNSON

WITNESS my hand and Notarial Seal at office the day and year above written.

Notary Public

My\_Commission Expires:

ALMA M. WEAVER
NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF KANSAS

My Appt. Exp. ....

### State of Delaware

### Office of the Secretary of State

I, EDWARD J. FREEL, SECRETARY OF STATE OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THE ATTACHED IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT OF "AGR ACQUISITION CORPORATION", CHANGING ITS NAME FROM "AGR ACQUISITION CORPORATION" TO "AGRIPRO SEEDS, INC.", FILED IN THIS OFFICE ON THE THIRTIETH DAY OF JUNE, A.D. 1994, AT 4:30 O'CLOCK P.M.

A CERTIFIED COPY OF THIS CERTIFICATE HAS BEEN FORWARDED TO THE NEW CASTLE COUNTY RECORDER OF DEEDS FOR RECORDING.

SECRETARY OF STATE

**AUTHENTICATION:** 

7169071

944121584

2394087 8100

DATE:

07-01-94

abi shawnee ksn

Ø 002/002

# CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT OF CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION OF AGR ACQUISITION CORPORATION

AGR Acquisition Corporation, a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware,

DOES HEREBY CERTIFY:

FIRST: that the Board of Directors of said corporation, by the unanimous written consent of its members filed with the minutes of the Board, adopted a resolution proposing and declaring advisable the following amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation of said corporation:

RESOLVED, that the Certificate of Incorporation of this corporation be amended by changing the Article thereof numbered "ARTICLE I" so that, as amended, said Article shall be and read as follows:

### "ARTICLE I

### Namo

The name of the corporation (hereinafter called the 'Corporation') is Agripro Seeds, Inc."

SECOND: That in lieu of a meeting and vote of stockholders, (
the sole shareholder of the corporation has given unanimous written
consent to said amendment in accordance with the provisions of
Section 228 of the General Corporation Law of the State of
Delaware.

THIRD: That the aforesaid amendment was duly adopted in accordance with the applicable provisions of Sections 242 and 228 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware.

FOURTH: That the capital of said corporation shall not be reduced under or by reason of said amendment.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, said AGR Acquisition Corporation has caused this certificate to be signed by Gary T. Hancock, its President, and attested by Ann Steelman, its Secretary, this 30 day of June, 1994.

AGR ACQUISITION CORPORATION

DV.

Gary T. Hancock, President

ATTEST:

BY: Am Steelman, Secretary

LAW OFFICES

CUCIUS E. BURCH, JR
W.J. MICHAFL CODY
JOEL PORTER
CHARLES F. NEWMAN
C. THOMAS CATES
JOE M. DUNCAN
JOHN A. STEMMLER
J BROCKE LATHRAM
JEFFIEGELMAN
DEWITTH SHY, JR
R. MICHAEL POTTER
JOHN W. CHANDLER, JR.
DAVID J. MARRIS
WARNER B. RODGA
DAVIGH LILLARD
JAM L. CRAIN, JR
NATHAM A. BICKS

STEPHEN D. CRAWLEY LAUREL C. WILLIAMS

LISAA, KRUPICKA
LEEANNE MARSHALLCOX
RICHARD R. SPORE, III
BETTH WEEMS PRACLEY
RATHRYN C. STORY
RELISSA A. MAGAVICH
MICKY E. WILLIAMS
TOOD A. ROSE
SUSAN CLARE TAYLOR
DOUGLAS F. MALUAN
JOHN W. CAMPELL
R. PORTER FEILD

BURGH, PORTER & JOHNSON

130 NORTH COURT AVENUE

Memphis, Tennessee 38103

TELECOPIER 901-523-7140

July 25, 1994

Ms. Ann Zempolich Plant Variety Protection Office U. S. Department of Agriculture 500 NAL Building 10301 Baltimore Boulevard Beltsville, MD 20705

Agripro Biosciences Inc. Sale of PVPs to AGR Acquisition Corporation (which has changed its name to Agripro Seeds, Inc.)

Dear Ms. Zempolich:

I am enclosing herewith the Bill of Sale and Assignment wherein Agripro Biosciences Inc. has sold and assigned all of its right, title and interest in and to the PVPs listed on the attachment to the Bill of Sale to AGR Acquisition Corporation and hereby request that your records be changed to show the new owner as Agripro Seeds, Inc., the new name of AGR Acquisition Corporation. It is our understanding that the attachment was generated by someone in our understanding that the attachment was generated by someone in your office and forwarded to Agripro Biosciences Inc. at its request, and was subsequently forwarded to our office as attorneys for the purchaser in connection with the sale transaction.

As I indicated to you in our several previous telephone conversations, AGR Acquisition Corporation changed its corporate name to Agripro Seeds, Inc. the same date as the closing of the sale. I am enclosing herewith a copy of the Certificate of Amendment filed by the Delaware Secretary of State wherein the corporate name is changed. indicated

If I counted correctly, there are 149 PVP certificates listed on the attachment to the Bill of Sale and Assignment. I am enclosing a check in the amount of \$3,725.00 payable to United States Treasury in payment of the \$25.00 per certificate fee to change the owner's name on your records.

THIS DOCUMENT H	S A COLORED BACKGROUND — NOT	A WHITE BACKGROUND THIS DO	CUMENT HAS A COLO	PRED BACKGROUND
HELENA	HELENA CHEMICAL COMPANY Suite 3200 — Clark Tower 5100 Poplar Avenue Memphis, Tennessee 38137		<u>DATE</u> 7-8-94	22406
PROTECTION	EXACTL)	<u> 37259203</u>	PA	\$3,725.00
□Unit	ed States Treasury	٦	HELENA CHEMIC	AL COMPANY
PAY TO THE ORDER OF		_/	Ben	a/r
L		_J	AUTHORIZED S	IGNATURES